

REMARKS


The present amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed February 22, 2005 in the above-referenced case. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 7 stand for examination. The Examiner has rejected claims 1 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. 112 because of the "closed geographic boundaries" language. In response the applicant has amended claims 1 and 4 to recite "geographic regions", defined and treated in detail in the as-filed specification at least on pages 23 and 24. The applicant respectfully requests that the 112 rejection be withdrawn.

The Examiner rejects claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) over Hancock, US 6,202,023. In response the applicant has amended the independent claims 1 and 4 and has canceled claims 3 and 5 to recite selection of data by position within a region and by a user's broad area of interest.

Although Hancock, of record, teaches users being prompted to input areas of interest (restaurants and the like), and other ways to tell the machine how to select data, there is no teaching to the machine receiving a general data request, and then using the user profile and the position to select data entities to transmit to the user.

The applicant believes all of the claims standing for examination have now been shown to be patentable as amended over the prior art, and applicant respectfully requests that the present case be reconsidered and passed quickly to issue. If there are any time extensions due beyond any extension requested and paid with this amendment, such extensions are hereby requested. If there are any fees due beyond any fees paid with the present amendment, such fees are authorized to be deducted from deposit account 50-0534.

Respectfully Submitted,
Harry Glorikian

by 
Donald R. Boys
Reg. No. 35,074

Central Coast Patent Agency
P.O. Box 187
Aromas, CA 95004
(831) 726-1457